

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE      |                                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR              | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/525,959                       | 02/28/2005                     | Lucas Cyril Gerard Van Der Heyden | GRT/4662-2          | 3062             |  |
| 23117<br>.NIXON & VA             | 7590 05/04/200<br>ANDERHYE, PC | EXAMINER                          |                     |                  |  |
| 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR |                                |                                   | AUDET, MAURY A      |                  |  |
| ARLINGTON                        | I, VA 22203                    |                                   | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                  |                                |                                   | 1654                |                  |  |
|                                  |                                |                                   |                     |                  |  |
|                                  |                                |                                   | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                  |                                |                                   | 05/04/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/525,959  | VAN DER HEYDEN ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Maury Audet   | 1654   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   | • •   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe  | ebruary 2005.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This   | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,11,12,14-21 and 23-26</u> is/are   | pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-18 and 23-26 is/a   | re withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,11,12 and 19-21</u> is/are rejecte   | ed.   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2005 is/are   | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte  | d to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | caminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | •   | ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | •   | and .  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receive   | eu.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/06.</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:  | ate Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election, after the First Office Action on the Merits, with traverse of Group I, composition claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, and 19-21 (species peptides with a molecular weight below 500 Da) in the reply filed on 2/9/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be a serious burden to search and examine all groups together. This is not found persuasive because for the reasons of record.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 14-18 and 23-26 are withdrawn as begin drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Applicant is requested to provide the appropriate claim identifier (, withdrawn) alongside each of these claims, in response hereto. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, and 19-21 are examined on the merits.

#### Backdrop

It is again noted, the present application is 371 of PCT/EP03/09790. The related International Search Report and Written Opinion therefrom covers virtually identical claims as presented here (other than a preliminary amendment to remove multiple dependencies). In Box I.2 of the Search Report it was indicated that "claims 1-18 relate to compositions, uses and methods involving a compound defined by reference to a desirable characteristic or property, namely sensitizing to insulin. [] In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. []A compound cannot be sufficiently defined by its mechanism of action and/or its pharmacologic profile." The International Authorities struggle with the search of the invention

Art Unit: 1654

based on the claim language/application as filed, is evidenced by the 17 references cited therein, each reading all or in part over the claims. and search thereof.

Notwithstanding the difficulty in searching structure-based subject matter, which is at the core of the present invention, which has been claimed by language to said structures mechanism of action and/or pharmacologic profile; a reasonable and diligent attempt has been made to search/examine the invention as claimed; similar to the problem faced by the International Searching/Examining Authority,. Claims 1-18 are herein examined on the merits.

# Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 4/24/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Only the US references and International Search Report (part of original filing papers) have been considered, as well as EP 1172373, which the Examiner retrieved on his own and applied below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1 and 11-12 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated WO 01/00223 A2 (Minimed Inc.), has been successfully traversed by the amendment of claim 1 to now require that at least 70 molar% of peptides in the peptide fraction have a molecular weight below 2000 Da. Insulin itself is a 50 amino acid peptide bearing an average weight of 6000 Da.

Art Unit: 1654

[Applicants arguments however, were not considered persuasive, since the claimed product requires that the composition be suitable for oral consumption, a route which insulin has been administered for some time - a search of the EAST internal database of insulin for oral administration resulted in at least 49 references bearing the same via Title).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Below is a new 103 rejection, necessitated by the amendment of claim 1 to now require that "at least 70 molar% of peptides in the peptide fraction have a molecular weight below 2000 Da". It is noted that the International Authority cited 17 references which were deemed to either read on or render obvious the amorphously claimed subject matter of the present invention.

Below, one of these references, D10 or EP-A-1172373, is cited merely by example of the same basic thread or them running through all of these references. Namely, that amino acid/peptide fractions obtained by hydrolysis/hydrolysate, capable of being administered via oral route, including with insulin sensitizers, are known in the art. Whether the volumes of any of these references actually expressly teach 70 molar% of these amino acid/peptide fractions to be under 2000 Da is presently deemed immaterial to that which this invention is actually even drawn to.

Namely, because Applicant himself, see former claim 10, previously claimed that composition with a peptide fraction having at least 30 or 50 or 70 molar% below 2000 Da carries out the same. Again, this is brought to light, as the invention has been so loosely claimed as to be border on unrecognizable in form/substance.

Art Unit: 1654

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP-A-1172373 (Sung Kyu, cited by the International Authority in Applicant's PCT/EP03/09790) in view of Van Loon et al. (US 6,713,082 B2)

EP-A-1172373 is cited merely by example of the volumes of literature on amino acid/peptide fractions, further comprising insulin sensitizers, which may be administered orally (and for diabetic purposes), as cited also by the International Authority. There is not indication that the peptide fraction in EP-A-1172373 does not 70 molar% of a peptide fraction of 2000 Da or less. However, since the reference does not expressly teach that "at least 70 molar% of peptides in the peptide fraction have a molecular weight below 2000 Da" or each and every plausible alternatives, e.g. that the free amino acid be leucine or have a proline on the end.

Van Loon et al. teach a composition to enhance blood insulin response comprising a peptide material, free amino acids selected from Leu and Phe, and size limitations of the peptide material (abstract, claims, entire document)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to administer "at least 70 molar% of peptides in the peptide fraction have a molecular weight below 2000 Da" or modify the amino acid content (e.g. specific peptide fractions/sizes and free amino acids as desired), in the composition of EP-A-1172373, because Van Loon et al. advantageously teach such peptide fractions/sizes, free amino acids in a

Art Unit: 1654

composition for enhancing blood insulin response and because EP-A-1172373, like the other volumes of references discussed by the International Authority on Applicant's same claims, similarly teach compositions comprising peptide fragments which enhance blood insulin response (e.g. in diabetic therapy). Absent evidence to the contrary of some unexpected result using "at least 70 molar% of peptides in the peptide fraction have a molecular weight below 2000 Da" or any of the other alternatives claimed, such modifications are merely deemed routine optimizations – similar to if Applicant would have instead amended claim 1 to be "at least 30 molar%".

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 2nd

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, and 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, is maintained for the reasons of record and the same reasons of record cited by the International Authority. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not found persuasive, namely that an objection over these claims was not

Art Unit: 1654

clarified. Specifically, as below and as cited by the International Authority, it is not clear what the peptide fraction of a protein hydrolysate constitutes, since such has not been distinctly claimed. Even the new amendment to include at least 70 molar% of peptides does little to remove the amorphous, unsubstantatiated subject matter of the invention. Namely, what is meant by fraction? What is meant by hydrolysate in the context of a fraction? [Following the interview, insulin sensitizer is no longer deemed indefinite as to its art accepted meaning].

Simply put, and until more clearly shown of record, the present invention, especially following amendment (random choice that now "at least 70 molar %" rather than say 30, or 50 of the peptide fraction must be below 2000 Da), appears to be more of a fishing expedition, than a positively recited, particularly pointed out and distinctly claimed invention.

The previous rejection is repeated again for continuity of record.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, and 19-21, as discussed in the opening of this Action (and by the International Authority), fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter to which the invention is drawn. Generic claims covering an invention are permissible, so long as the invention can be ascertained therefrom. However, based on the present claim language, one of skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of what constitutes the invention or what products/methods would read thereupon. It is suggested that Applicant amend the claims to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter to which the invention is drawn. [The claims so lacking clarity it is not clear whether a 112 1<sup>st</sup> para. Written Description or Enablement/Scope thereof, presently exist. Therefore rejections under the 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph are hereby held in abeyance until the claims have been amended such that a determination under 112 1<sup>st</sup> can be made].

Art Unit: 1654

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action (namely, claim 1, wherein it is now required rather than by option with 30 or 50 or

70 molar% (former claim 10) that "at least 70 molar% of peptides in the peptide fraction have a

molecular weight below 2000 Da). Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See

MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 571-272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 4/30/2007



|                     | APR 2 4 7006                  | VAN DEF  | R HEYDEN et al                        |                    | (0/)   | 525,98   |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| (υ                  | se several shears Anecassary) | FILING DATE                                      | 71081UD                               | Unassigned         | 1654   | <u> </u>   |
|                     |                               | U.S  | . PATENT DOCUMENTS                    |                    | <del></del>                                      | FILING DA  |
| EXAMINER<br>INITIAL | DOCUMENT NUMBER               | DATE   | NAME                                  | CLASS              | SUBCLASS   | IF APPROPE                                       |
| #                   | 4,584,197                     | 04/22/1986                                       | TAKASAKI et al.                       |                    |  |  |
| 134                 | 6,251,865                     | 06/26/2001                                       | CLARK et al.                          |                    |  |  |
|                     |                               |  |                                       |                    |  |  |
|                     |                               |  |                                       |                    |  |  |
|                     |                               | <del> </del>                                     |                                       |                    |  |  |
| <del></del>         |                               |  |                                       |                    |  |  |
|                     |                               | <del>                                     </del> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                    |  |  |
|                     |                               | <del> </del>                                     | <del></del>                           |                    |  |  |
| ·                   |                               | <del></del>                                      |                                       |                    |  |  |
|                     |                               |  |                                       |                    |  | <u> </u>   |
|                     |                               | <del>                                     </del> |                                       |                    |  | <del> </del>                                     |
| <del>_</del>        |                               |  |                                       |                    |  |  |
|                     |                               |  |                                       | <del></del>        |  |  |
|                     |                               |  |                                       |                    | <del></del>                                      |  |
|                     |                               | <u></u>  | EIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS                 |                    |  | L  |
| -,                  |                               | FOR  | EIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS                 | ·                  |  | TRANSLAT   |
| ,                   | DOCUMENT                      | DATE   | COUNTRY                               | CLASS              | SUBCLASS   | YES  |
|                     | 99/38501                      | 08/05/1999                                       | WIPO                                  | •                  |  |  |
|                     | 1 112 693                     | 07/04/2001                                       | Europe                                |                    |  |  |
|                     | 98/48640                      | 11/05/1998                                       | WIPO                                  |                    |  |  |
|                     | 2 381 451                     | 05/07/2003                                       | Great Britain                         |                    |  |  |
|                     | 98/02165                      | 01/22/1998                                       | WIPO                                  |                    |  |  |
|                     | 01/19542                      | 03/22/2001                                       | WIPO                                  |                    |  |  |
|                     | 00/77034                      | 12/21/2000                                       | WIPO                                  |                    |  |  |
|                     | 01/68114                      | 09/20/2001                                       | WIPO                                  |                    |  | <del></del>                                      |
| ALL                 | 1 172 373                     | 01/16/2002                                       | Europe                                |                    | -  | <del>                                     </del> |
| - WINT              | 93/10147                      | 05/27/1993                                       | WIPO                                  | <del></del>        |  |  |
|                     | 01/37850                      | 05/31/2001                                       | WIPO                                  |                    | <del>                                     </del> | <del>  </del>                                    |
|                     | 02/32232                      | 04/25/2002                                       | WIPO                                  |                    |  |  |
|                     | 02/32232                      | 06/13/2002                                       | WIPO                                  |                    | <del> </del>                                     |  |
|                     | 02/45525                      | 00/13/2002                                       | WIFO                                  | <del></del>        | <del> </del>                                     | <del>  </del> -                                  |
|                     | OTUED                         | DOCUMENTS (In                                    | cluding Author, Title, Date, Per      | linent nesses etc. | <u> </u>   | <u> </u>   |
|                     |                               | •  | responses after ingestion of diffe    |                    | ·  | uroo with  |
|                     | carbohydrate 1-3 vol. 7       | ai., Piasma insulin<br>2 no 1 July 2000          | , pages 96-105, XP-002230094          | rent amino acio or | protein mixi                                     | ares with  |
|                     | DATABASE WPI XP-0             | 02242190 & JP 0                                  | 5 344863, (Meiji Milk Prod Co Ltd)    | , December 27, 19  | 93   |  |
| -24                 | International Search F        |  |                                       |                    |  |  |
|                     | Α                             | 1,   |                                       |                    |  |  |
|                     | taun                          | 11.11  | Date Considered                       | 04/0               |  |  |
| *Examiner           |                               |  |                                       |                    |  |  |